



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 007443,001	FILING DATE 05/10/95	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SATO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 77732/12
-------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------

FRANK PIETRANTONIO
KENYON & KENYON
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

E6M1/1021

EXAMINER CHANNAM, M

ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 10/21/96 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/443,801

Applicant(s)
Sato et al.

Examiner
Mohammed R. Ghannam

Group Art Unit
2617



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mohammed R. Ghannam (3) _____
(2) Linda Blackburn (4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 16, 1996

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 5

Identification of prior art discussed:
None


JEFFERY HOFSSASS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2600

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mrs Blackburn after receiving an office action was concern about an objection that was made on claim 5. After reviewing the objection and the amended claim the examiner realized the wrong form paragraph was use, and claim 5 should have not be objected to but allowed over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.